

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003

APPLICATIONNO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,177	12/05/2001 7590 05/02/2003	Junji Komeno	Q67579	2265	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			KACKAR, RAMN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/002,177	KOMENO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ram N Kackar	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reploy within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this commun	ication.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	December 2001		
	his action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow		rs, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicatio			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	iwn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1-0		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce		Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		.,,	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	, ,		
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		olication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	е
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional appl	ication).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •		
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ryozo Sato (JP 03171616).

Ryozo Sato disclose a circular disk type susceptor (Abstract and Fig 1) with a base plate (Fig 1-12), rotating mechanism for susceptor at the periphery (21), plurality of substrates positioned downwards (w), being revolved by the rotation of the susceptor (Abstract), having bearing grooves and bearing (14), temperature detection and control mechanism (50 and 60) which could be used for MOCVD.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1- 9 and 12-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of Yasuki Nakao (JP 03069119) and Ryozo Sato (JP 03171616).

Art Unit: 1763

AAPA discloses a MOCVD film forming device with a susceptor in the form of a disk, a base plate positioned below the susceptor and rotatably retaining the susceptor and rotating means for the susceptor, rotating means for the substrate, the substrate film forming plane facing downwards and two temperature control devices (Fig 5).

AAPA does not disclose the specific rotation means for the substrate and the susceptor.

Yasuki Nakao discloses for a film-processing device a rotation generating section for substrates (Fig 2 –2 and 4) through the susceptor and drive (revolution input section) for the susceptor. Yasuki Nakao does not disclose the drive for the susceptor at its periphery and bearing between the base plate and rotating susceptor.

Ryozo Sato discloses drive for the susceptor at the periphery (Fig 1-21) and the bearing between the base plate and rotating susceptor (Fig 1-14).

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have a drive (spur gear type) at the periphery of the device of Yasuki Nakao so as to have a compact susceptor with low profile and have space for temperature control above the substrates as in Ryozo Sato.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants admitted prior art in view of Yasuki Nakao (JP 03069119) and Ryozo Sato (JP 03171616) as applied to claim 2 and further in view of John S. Wollam (US 3783822).

Ryozo Sato discloses some parts of the susceptor made of carbon (Fig 1-31) but do not disclose gears and bearings to be made of carbon.

John S. Wollam discloses moving parts made of carbon (Col 2 lines 24-29).

Art Unit: 1763

As carbon graphite is stable at high temperature it would have been obvious to use bearings and drive gears of carbon also for their strength, toughness and ability to with stand high temperature.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants admitted prior art in view of Yasuki Nakao (JP 03069119) and Ryozo Sato (JP 03171616) as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Bryan D Schmidt (US 5343938).

Yasuki Nakao or Ryozo Sato do not disclose an opening at the center portion.

Bryan D Schmidt discloses opening at the central portion closed by a material of the susceptor for the purpose of controlling thermal conductivity and in turn uniformity (Fig 1- 23).

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have an opening in the susceptor covered by carbon so as to improve thermal uniformity.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N Kackar whose telephone number is 703 305 3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 703 308 1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

Art Unit: 1763

RK April 29, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER